arXiv:1511.07969v1 [math.PR] 25 Nov 2015

On a characterization of idempotent distributions on discrete fields and on the field of p-adic numbers

G.M. Feldman and M.V. Myronyuk

Abstract

We prove the following theorem. Let X be a discrete field, ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in X and distribution μ. The random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ are independent if and only if μ is an idempotent distribution. A similar result is also proved in the case when ξ and η are independent identically distributed random variables with values in the field of p-adic numbers $\mathbf{Q}_p$, where $p > 2$, assuming that the distribution μ has a continuous density.

Keys words and phrases: Characterization theorem, idempotent distribution, discrete field, the field of p-adic numbers.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 60B15, 62E10, 43A05.

1 Introduction

According to the classical Kac–Bernstein theorem if ξ and η are independent random variables and their sum $\xi + \eta$ and difference $\xi - \eta$ are independent, then the random variables ξ and η are Gaussian. This characterization of a Gaussian measure remains true if instead of the difference $\xi - \eta$ we consider its square $(\xi - \eta)^2$, but assume that the random variables ξ are η identically distributed. This characterization of a Gaussian measure is a particular case of the well-known Geary theorem: if $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n,\ n \geq 2$, are independent identically distributed random variables such that the sample mean $\bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j$ and the sample variance $s^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\xi_j - \bar{\xi})^2$ are independent, then the random variables ξ_j are Gaussian ([8], [12], [11], [17]).

A lot of research are devoted to generalizations of the classical characterization theorems of mathematical statistics to different algebraic structures, first of all to locally compact Abelian groups (see e.g. [1]–[4], [6], [7], [9], [13]–[15], and also [5], where one can find additional references). So far they dealt only with linear forms of independent random variables with values in a group, where coefficients of the linear forms were topological automorphisms of the group (the Kac–Bernstein theorem, the Skitovich–Darmois theorem, etc).

In this article we study the simplest "nonlinear" characterization problem. Let X be a locally compact field. Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in X and distribution μ. Assume that the random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ are independent. Assuming that X is a discrete field, we prove that then μ is idempotent distribution. A similar result we prove in the case, when X is the field of p-adic numbers $\mathbf{Q}_p$, where $p > 2$, assuming that μ has a density with respect to a Haar measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and this density is continuous. Since on totally disconnected locally compact Abelian groups, in particular, on discrete groups and on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ idempotent distributions are a natural analogue of Gaussian measures, the obtained results one can consider as a natural analogue of the corresponding characterization theorem both for discrete fields and the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

Let X be a locally compact field. Denote by e the unit of the field X. The additive group of the field X is a locally compact Abelian group. We also denote this group by X. Denote by (x, y), $x, y \in X$, elements of the group X^2. Denote by T the mapping $T : X^2 \mapsto X^2$ defined by the formula $T(x, y) = (x + y, (x - y)^2)$. Let A be a subset of X. Put $A^{[2]} = \{x \in X : x = t^2,\ t \in A\}$. Let G be an arbitrary locally compact Abelian group. Denote by m_G a Haar measure on G. If G is a compact group, then we assume that m_G is a distribution, i.e. $m_G(G) = 1$. Denote by $I(X)$ the set of all

idempotent distributions on X, i.e. the set of all shifts of Haar distributions m_K of compact subgroup K of the group X. If $x \in X$ denote by E_x the degenerate distribution concentrated at the point x. If ξ and η are random variables with values in X, then we denote by μ_ξ the distribution of the random variable ξ, and by $\mu_{(\xi,\eta)}$ the distribution of the random vector (ξ, η).

2 Characterization of idempotent distributions on discrete fields

We study in this section the case when independent random variables take values in a discrete field X. First we consider the case when the characteristic of the field X differs from 0, and then the case when the characteristic of the field X is equal to 0.

Note that if the characteristic of a field X differs from 2, then X is an Abelian group with unique division by 2, i.e. for any element $x \in X$ the element $\frac{x}{2} \in X$ is uniquely determined.

For proving theorems characterizing idempotent distributions on discrete fields we need two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let X be a countable discrete field of characteristic p, where $p \neq 2$. Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in X and distribution μ such that $\mu(0) > 0$. In order that the random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ be independent it is necessary and sufficient that the function $\mu(x)$ satisfy the equation*

$$\mu^2(u)\mu(v)\mu(-v) = \mu^2(0)\mu(u+v)\mu(u-v), \quad u, v \in X. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Note that $\mu_{(S,D)} = T(\mu_{(\xi,\eta)})$. It is obvious that

$$\mu_{(S,D)}(u,0) = T(\mu_{(\xi,\eta)})(u,0) = \mu_{(\xi,\eta)}(T^{-1}(u,0)) = \mu_{(\xi,\eta)}\left(\frac{u}{2}, \frac{u}{2}\right). \tag{2}$$

Let $v = t^2,\ t \in X,\ t \neq 0$. We have

$$\mu_{(S,D)}(u,v) = \mu_{(S,D)}(u,t^2) = \mu_{(\xi,\eta)}(T^{-1}(u,t^2)) =$$

$$= \mu_{(\xi,\eta)}\left(\frac{u+t}{2}, \frac{u-t}{2}\right) + \mu_{(\xi,\eta)}\left(\frac{u-t}{2}, \frac{u+t}{2}\right), \quad u \in X,\ t \neq 0. \tag{3}$$

Taking into account the independence of the random variables ξ and η, it follows from (2) and (2) that

$$\mu_{(S,D)}(u,0) = \mu^2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right), \quad u \in X, \tag{4}$$

$$\mu_{(S,D)}(u,v) = 2\mu\left(\frac{u+t}{2}\right)\mu\left(\frac{u-t}{2}\right), \quad u \in X,\ v = t^2,\ t \neq 0. \tag{5}$$

First we prove the necessity. Taking into account the independence of the random variables S and D, it follows from (4) and (5) that

$$\mu_S(u)\mu_D(0) = \mu^2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right), \quad u \in X, \tag{6}$$

$$\mu_S(u)\mu_D(t^2) = 2\mu\left(\frac{u+t}{2}\right)\mu\left(\frac{u-t}{2}\right), \quad u \in X,\ t \neq 0. \tag{7}$$

Moreover (6) implies that $\mu_D(0) \neq 0$, because otherwise $\mu(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, contrary to the condition of the theorem. Find $\mu_S(u)$ from (6) and substitute it to (7). We get

$$\mu^2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)\mu_D(t^2) = 2\mu_D(0)\mu\left(\frac{u+t}{2}\right)\mu\left(\frac{u-t}{2}\right), \quad u \in X,\ t \neq 0. \tag{8}$$

Rewrite (8) in the form

$$\mu^2(u)\mu_D(4t^2) = 2\mu_D(0)\left(\mu\left(u+t\right)\mu\left(u-t\right)\right), \quad u \in X,\ t \neq 0. \tag{9}$$

Putting in (9) $u = 0$, we obtain

$$\mu_D(4t^2) = \frac{2\mu_D(0)\mu(t)\mu(-t)}{\mu^2(0)}, \quad t \neq 0. \tag{10}$$

It follows from (9) and that (10)

$$\mu^2(u)\mu(t)\mu(-t) = \mu^2(0)\mu(u+t)\mu(u-t),\ u \in X,\ t \neq 0. \tag{11}$$

Note that (11), obviously, holds true also when $t = 0$. Therefore the function $\mu(x)$ satisfies equation (1). So, we proved the necessity.

To prove sufficiency we first note that random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 with values in a discrete space X are independent if and only if there exist functions $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ on X such that

$$\mu_{(\xi_1,\xi_2)}(x,y) = a(x)b(y), \quad x,y \in X. \tag{12}$$

Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in X and distribution μ such that $\mu(0) > 0$. It is obvious that if $v \notin X^{[2]}$, then $\mu_{(S,D)}(u,v) = 0$. It follows from (4) and (5) that we have a representation

$$\mu_{(S,D)}(u,v) = \begin{cases} \mu^2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right), & u \in X, v = 0; \\ 2\mu\left(\frac{u+t}{2}\right)\mu\left(\frac{u-t}{2}\right), & u \in X, v = t^2, t \neq 0; \\ 0, & u \in X, v \notin X^{[2]}. \end{cases}$$

This representation implies that if a function $\mu(x)$ satisfies equation (1), then the random variables S and D are independent, because in this case we can put in (12) $a(x) = \mu^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$ and

$$b(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0; \\ \dfrac{2\mu\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\mu\left(-\frac{t}{2}\right)}{\mu^2(0)}, & x = t^2, t \neq 0; \\ 0, & x \notin X^{[2]}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2. *Let X be an Abelian group with unique division by 2. Let μ be a function on X, satisfying equation* (1) *and the condition $\mu(0) > 0$. Then the set $K = \{x \in X : \mu(x) \neq 0\}$ is a subgroup of X.*

Proof. Assume that $\mu(x) \neq 0$ at a point $x \in X$. Put in (1) $u = v = \frac{x}{2}$. We get

$$\mu^3\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\mu\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) = \mu^3(0)\mu(x). \tag{13}$$

Since $\mu(0) \neq 0$ and $\mu(x) \neq 0$, it follows from (13) that

$$\mu\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\mu\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) \neq 0. \tag{14}$$

Put in (1) $u = v = -\frac{x}{2}$. We obtain

$$\mu^3\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)\mu\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \mu^3(0)\mu(-x). \tag{15}$$

Taking into account (14), we imply from (15) that $\mu(-x) \neq 0$. So, we proved that if $\mu(x) \neq 0$, then also $\mu(-x) \neq 0$. When it is considered, (1) implies that the set $K = \{x \in X : \mu(x) \neq 0\}$ is a subgroup of X.

First we prove a theorem on characterization of idempotent distributions for a discrete fields of nonzero characteristic.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a countable discrete field of characteristic p, where $p > 2$. Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in X and distribution μ. In order that the random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ be independent it is necessary and sufficient that $\mu \in I(X)$.*

Proof. Necessity. Replacing if it is necessary the random variables ξ and η by new independent random variables $\xi + x$ and $\eta + x$, we can assume from the beginning that $\mu(0) > 0$. Then Lemma 1 implies that the function $\mu(x)$ satisfies equation (1). Since the characteristic of the field X is greater than 2, X is an Abelian group with unique division by 2. Hence, by Lemma 2 the set $K = \{x \in X : \mu(x) > 0\}$ is a subgroup of X. Let $x_0 \in K$, $x_0 \neq 0$. Denote by L the subgroup generating by x_0. Then $L \cong \mathbf{Z}(p)$, where $\mathbf{Z}(p)$ is the group of residue modulo p, and $L \subset K$. Consider the restriction of equation (1) to L. Put $\varphi(x) = \log \mu(x)$, $x \in L$. It follows from (1) that

$$2\varphi(u) + \varphi(v) + \varphi(-v) = 2\varphi(0) + \varphi(u + v) + \varphi(u - v), \quad u, v \in L.$$

Integrate both sides of this equality by the measure $dm_L(v)$. We get that $\varphi(u) = \varphi(0)$ for all $u \in L$. It means that $\mu(x) = \mu(0)$ for all $x \in L$, and hence, $\mu(x) = \mu(0)$ for all $x \in K$. This implies that K is a finite subgroup and $\mu = m_K$. The necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. Let K be a finite subgroup of X. Denote by $|K|$ the number of elements of the subgroup K. Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in X and distribution $\mu = m_K$. Since all nonzero elements of the field X have order p, and $p > 2$, the following statement holds: if $2x \in K$, then $x \in K$. This easily implies that the function

$$\mu(x) = m_K(x) = \begin{cases} |K|^{-1}, & x \in K; \\ 0, & x \notin K. \end{cases}$$

satisfies equation (1), and hence, by Lemma 1 the random variables S and D are independent.

Remark 1. Let X be a discrete field of characteristic 2. Let ξ and η be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ and ν. If Если the random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ are independent, then μ and ν are degenerate distributions. Indeed, in a field of characteristic 2 the equality $(\xi - \eta)^2 = (\xi + \eta)^2$ holds, i.e. $D = S^2$. But as it easily seen, in a filed of characteristic 2 if μ_S is a nondegenerate distribution, then μ_{S^2} is also a nondegenerate distribution. Hence, in a filed of characteristic 2 independence of S and S^2 implies that S has a degenerate distribution (compare below with Remark 2). But then μ and ν are also degenerate distributions.

We prove now a theorem on characterization of idempotent distributions for a discrete field of characteristic 0.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a countable discrete field of characteristic 0. Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in X and distribution μ. If the random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ are independent, then μ is a degenerate distribution.*

Proof. Replacing if it is necessary the random variables ξ and η by new independent random variables $\xi + x$ and $\eta + x$, we can assume from the beginning that $\mu(0) > 0$. Then Lemma 1 implies that the function $\mu(x)$ satisfies equation (1). Since the characteristic of the field X is 0, X is an Abelian group with unique division by 2. Then by Lemma 2 the set $K = \{x \in X : \mu(x) > 0\}$ is a subgroup of X.

Assume that $\mu(x_0) > 0$ at a point $x_0 \in X$, $x_0 \neq 0$. Consider a subgroup of X of the form

$$G = \left\{ \frac{mx_0}{2^n} : \ m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \ n = 0, 1, \dots \right\}.$$

Taking into account that K is a subgroup, equation (1) implies that $\mu(x) > 0$ for all $x \in G$. Consider the restriction of equation (1) to the subgroup L. Put $\varphi(x) = \log \mu(x)$, $x \in G$. It follows from (1) that

$$2\varphi(0) + \varphi(u + v) + \varphi(u - v) = 2\varphi(u) + \varphi(v) + \varphi(-v), \quad u, v \in G. \tag{16}$$

Let h be an arbitrary element of the group G. Substituting in (16) u for $u+h$ and v for $v+h$, we obtain

$$2\varphi(0)+\varphi(u+v+2h)+\varphi(u-v)=2\varphi(u+h)+\varphi(v+h)+\varphi(-v-h),\quad u,v,h\in G. \tag{17}$$

Subtracting (16) from (17) we find

$$\Delta_{2h}\varphi(u+v)=2\Delta_h\varphi(u)+\Delta_h\varphi(v)+\Delta_{-h}\varphi(-v),\quad u,v,h\in G. \tag{18}$$

Putting in (18) $u=0$, we get

$$\Delta_{2h}\varphi(v)=2\Delta_h\varphi(0)+\Delta_h\varphi(v)+\Delta_{-h}\varphi(-v),\quad v,h\in G. \tag{19}$$

Subtracting (19) from (18), we obtain

$$\Delta_{2h}\Delta_u\varphi(v)=2\Delta_h\Delta_u\varphi(0),\quad u,v,h\in G. \tag{20}$$

Let k be an arbitrary element of the group G. Substituting in (20) v for $v+k$ and subtracting from the obtained equation equation (20), we find

$$\Delta_{2h}\Delta_u\Delta_k\varphi(v)=0,\quad u,v,h,k\in G. \tag{21}$$

Since u, h and k are arbitrary elements of G, it follows from (21) that the function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_u^3\varphi(v)=0,\quad u,v\in G. \tag{22}$$

Let x be an arbitrary element of the group G. Then $x=rx_0$, where $r=\frac{m}{2^n}$. As it easily follows from (22) $\varphi(x)=ar^2+br+c$, where a,b,c are some constants. Hence, $\mu(x)=e^{ar^2+br+c}$. But this is impossible because

$$\sum_{x\in G}\mu(x)\le 1.$$

Thus, there not exists a point $x_0\in X$, $x_0\neq 0$, such that $\mu(x_0)>0$, and hence $\mu=E_0$.

Remark 2. Let X be a countable discrete field of characteristic p, where $p\neq 2$. Let ξ and η be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ and ν. Assume that the random variables $S=\xi+\eta$ and $D=(\xi-\eta)^2$ are independent. Generally speaking this not implies that both distributions μ and ν are idempotent. There is a corresponding example.

Since $p\neq 2$, we have $e\neq -e$. Let ξ and η be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ and ν such that $\mu=\frac{1}{2}(E_{-e}+E_e)$, $\nu=E_0$. Then $S=\xi$ и $D=\xi^2$. Since μ_D is a degenerate distribution, the random variables S and D are independent.

3 Characterization of idempotent distributions on the field of p-adic numbers

Let p be a fixed prime number. Denote by $\mathbf{Q}_p$ the filed of p-adic numbers. We need some simple properties of the field of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. As a set $\mathbf{Q}_p$ coincides with a set of doubly infinite sequences of positive integers

$$x=(\dots,x_{-n},x_{-n+1},\dots,x_{-1},x_0,x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1},\dots),\ x_n\in\{0,1,\dots,p-1\}$$

, being $x_n=0$ for $n<n_0$, where the number n_0 depends on x. We correspond to each element $x\in\mathbf{Q}_p$ a series $\sum\limits_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}x_kp^k$. Addition and multiplication of series are defined in a natural way and define the

operations of addition and multiplication on $\mathbf{Q}_p$. With respect to these operations $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is a field. Denote by $\mathbf{Z}_p$ a subset of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ consisting of all $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $x_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. The set $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is a ring and is called the ring of p-adic integers. Denote by $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ a subset of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of the form $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times = \{x \in \mathbf{Q}_p : x_n = 0$ for $n < 0$, $x_0 \neq 0\}$. The subset $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ coincides with the group of invertible elements of the ring $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Moreover each element $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ is uniquely represented in the form $x = p^l c$, where l is an integer, and $c \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$. Denote by e the unity of the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$. One can define a norm $|x|_p$ on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ by the following way. If $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ и $x = p^l c$, where l is an integer, and $c \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$, we put $|x|_p = p^{-l}$, $|0|_p = 0$. The norm $|x|_p$ satisfies the conditions: $|x + y|_p \leq \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)$, $|xy|_p = |x|_p|y|_p$ and defines a topology on $\mathbf{Q}_p$. The field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with respect to this topology is locally compact, noncompact and totally disconnected, and the ring $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is compact. Choose a Haar measure $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ in such a way that $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p) = 1$. Then $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(p^k \mathbf{Z}_p) = p^{-k}$. We will also assume that $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2} = m_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \times m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$.

To prove the main theorem of this section we need some lemmas.

Lemma 3. *Consider the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Then on the set $\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]}$ there exists a continuous function $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ satisfying the equation*

$$\mathfrak{s}^2(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]}. \tag{23}$$

The set $\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]} \backslash \{0\}$ is a disjoin union of balls in each of which the function $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ is expressed by a convergent power series.

Proof. The representation

$$\mathbf{Q}_p = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} p^l \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$$

implies that

$$\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]} = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} p^{2l} (\mathbf{Z}_p^\times)^{[2]}. \tag{24}$$

Assume first that $p > 2$. It is well known that in the multiplicative group $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ there exists an element ε of order $p-1$, and elements $0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2, \ldots, \varepsilon^{p-1} = e$ form a complete set of coset representatives of the subgroup $p\mathbf{Z}_p$ in the group $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Put $A_k = \varepsilon^k + p\mathbf{Z}_p = \left\{x : |x - \varepsilon^k|_p \leq \frac{1}{p}\right\}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$. Then $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{p-1} A_k$. First define the function $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ on the set $(\mathbf{Z}_p^\times)^{[2]}$. Since $(e + p\mathbf{Z}_p)^{[2]} = e + p\mathbf{Z}_p$, we have $A_k^{[2]} = A_{2k}$, if $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, and $A_k^{[2]} = A_{2k-p+1}$, if $k = \frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2}, \ldots, p-1$. Note that $(\mathbf{Z}_p^\times)^{[2]} = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} A_{2k}$, and define the function $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ on each coset A_{2k}. Take $x \in A_{2k}$. Then the equation $x = t^2$ has two solutions $t_1 \in A_k$ and $-t_1 \in A_{k+\frac{p-1}{2}}$. These solutions belong to different cosets. The coset A_k is a compact set, and the function $g(x) = x^2$ is continuous on A_k and it is one-to-one mapping of the set A_k on A_{2k}. This implies that the inverse to $g(x)$ mapping $\mathfrak{s}_k : A_{2k} \mapsto A_k$ is also continuous, and hence is a homeomorphism between A_{2k} and A_k. Put $\mathfrak{s}(x) = \mathfrak{s}_k(x)$, if $x \in A_{2k}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$. Since A_{2k} is an open set in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, the function $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ is continuous and satisfies equation (23) on $(\mathbf{Z}_p^\times)^{[2]}$. Taking into account (24), put

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \begin{cases} p^l \mathfrak{s}(c), & x = p^{2l} c, c \in (\mathbf{Z}_p^\times)^{[2]}; \\ 0, & \text{x=0.} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that the constructed function $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ in each ball A_{2k} при $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ is expressed by a convergent power series

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \varepsilon^k \left(e + \frac{\varepsilon^{-2k}}{2}(x - \varepsilon^{2k}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2n-3)!!}{2n!!} \varepsilon^{-2kn} (x - \varepsilon^{2k})^n \right).$$

This implies that in each ball $p^{2l}A_{2k}$, $l=\pm1,\pm2,\dots$ the function $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ is also expressed by a convergent power series. It is obvious that the set $\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]}\backslash\{0\}$ is a disjoin union of balls $p^{2l}A_{2k}$, $k=1,2,\dots,\frac{p-1}{2}$, $l=0,\pm1,\pm2,\dots$, and $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ is the required function.

If $p=2$, the reasoning is changed slightly. Put $B_k=ke+4\mathbf{Z}_2$, $k=0,1,2,3$. Then the elements $0,e,2e,3e$ form a complete set of coset representatives of the subgroup $4\mathbf{Z}_2$ in the group $\mathbf{Z}_2$. We have $(\mathbf{Z}_2^{\times})^{[2]}=B_1^{[2]}=B_3^{[2]}=e+8\mathbf{Z}_2$. Take $x\in e+8\mathbf{Z}_2$. Then the equation $x=t^2$ has two solutions $t_1\in B_1$ and $-t_1\in B_3$. These solutions belong to different cosets. The rest part of the proof is similar to the case when $p>2$.

Lemma 4. *Consider the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$, where $p>2$. Take $(x_0,y_0)\in\mathbf{Q}_p^2$ such that $|x_0-y_0|_p=p^{-l}$. Then for $k\geq l+1$ the following equality*

$$T\{(x_0,y_0)+(p^k\mathbf{Z}_p)^2\}=(x_0+y_0,(x_0-y_0)^2)+(p^k\mathbf{Z}_p)\times(p^{k+l}\mathbf{Z}_p) \tag{25}$$

holds.

Proof. Since $|x_0-y_0|_p=p^{-l}$, we have $x_0-y_0=p^lc$, where $c\in\mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$. Note that on the one hand,

$$T\{(x_0,y_0)+(p^k\mathbf{Z}_p)^2\}=T\{(x_0+p^kx,y_0+p^ky):x,y\in\mathbf{Z}_p\}=$$

$$=\{(x_0+y_0+p^k(x+y),(x_0-y_0)^2+2p^k(x_0-y_0)(x-y)+p^{2k}(x-y)^2):x,y\in\mathbf{Z}_p\}=$$

$$=\{(x_0+y_0+p^ks,(x_0-y_0)^2+2p^{k+l}ct+p^{2k}t^2):s,t\in\mathbf{Z}_p\} \tag{26}$$

holds true for any k. On the other hand,

$$\{2ct+p^{k-l}t^2:t\in\mathbf{Z}_p\}=\mathbf{Z}_p \tag{27}$$

holds true for $k\geq l+1$.

Indeed, note that $(e+p^m\mathbf{Z}_p)^{[2]}=e+p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ is fulfilled for any $m\geq1$. This implies that $(c+p^m\mathbf{Z}_p)^{[2]}=c^2+p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ for all $c\in\mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$, i.e. $\{c^2+2cp^mt+p^{2m}t^2:t\in\mathbf{Z}_p\}=c^2+p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$, and hence, $\{2ct+p^mt^2:t\in\mathbf{Z}_p\}=\mathbf{Z}_p$. For $k\geq l+1$ this equality implies (27). Taking into account (27), (25) follows from (3).

Lemma 5. *Consider the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$, where $p>2$. Let a function $\mathfrak{s}$ be as constructed in the proof of Lemma 3. Consider the mappings S_j from $\mathbf{Q}_p\times\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]}$ to $\mathbf{Q}_p^2$ of the form*

$$S_1(u,v)=\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2},\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right),\quad S_2(u,v)=\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2},\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right).$$

Let $(u_0,v_0)\in\mathbf{Q}_p\times\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]}$ and $|\mathfrak{s}(v_0)|_p=p^{-l}$. Put $E_k=\{(u_0,v_0)+(p^k\mathbf{Z}_p)\times(p^{k+l}\mathbf{Z}_p)\}$. Then for $k\geq l+1$ the following statements are valid:

(i) $$E_k\subset\mathbf{Q}_p\times\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]},$$

(ii) $$S_1(E_k)\cap S_2(E_k)=\emptyset,$$

(iii) $$\int\limits_{S_j(E_k)}\Phi_j(x,y)dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(x,y)=\int\limits_{E_k}\Phi_j(S_j(u,v))|\mathfrak{s}(v)|_p^{-1}dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(u,v),\ j=1,2,$$

for any continuous function $\Phi_j(x,y)$ on $S_j(E_k)$.

Proof. Note that $|v_0|_p=p^{-2l}$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 3 that if $w_0\in\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]}$ and $|w_0|_p=p^{-2l}$, then $w_0+w\in\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]}$ for $w\in p^{2l+1}\mathbf{Z}_p$. Since $k\geq l+1$, from what has been said it follows that (i) is fulfilled.

To prove (ii) assume that $S_1(E_k)\cap S_2(E_k)\neq\emptyset$. Then as easily seen, there exist elements $v_1, v_2\in\mathbf{Z}_p$ such that

$$\mathfrak{s}(v_0+p^{k+l}v_1)+\mathfrak{s}(v_0+p^{k+l}v_2)\in p^k\mathbf{Z}_p. \tag{28}$$

Since $v_0\in\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]}$ and $|v_0|_p=p^{-2l}$, we have $v_0=p^{2l}c$, where $c\in(\mathbf{Z}_p^{\times})^{[2]}$ and $v_0+p^{k+l}v_i=p^{2l}(c+p^{k-l}v_i)$, $i=1,2$. This implies that $\mathfrak{s}(v_0+p^{k+l}v_1)+\mathfrak{s}(v_0+p^{k+l}v_2)=p^l(\mathfrak{s}(c+p^{k-l}v_1)+\mathfrak{s}(c+p^{k-l}v_2))$. It follows from the definition of the function $\mathfrak{s}$ that the elements $\mathfrak{s}(c+p^{k-l}v_i)$, $i=1,2$, are at the same coset of the subgroup $p\mathbf{Z}_p$ in the group $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and hence, $\mathfrak{s}(c+p^{k-l}v_1)+\mathfrak{s}(c+p^{k-l}v_2)\notin p\mathbf{Z}_p$. Therefore $\mathfrak{s}(v_0+p^{k+l}v_1)+\mathfrak{s}(v_0+p^{k+l}v_2)\notin p^{l+1}\mathbf{Z}_p$, But this contradicts (28) for $k\geq l+1$. So, we proved (ii).

Let us prove (iii). We will prove that equality (iii) holds true for S_1. For S_2 the reasoning is similar. Put $(x_0,y_0)=S_1(u_0,v_0)=\left(\frac{u_0+\mathfrak{s}(v_0)}{2},\frac{u_0-\mathfrak{s}(v_0)}{2}\right)$ and check that

$$S_1(E_k)=(x_0,y_0)+(p^k\mathbf{Z}_p)^2. \tag{29}$$

We have $S_1(u_0+u,v_0+v)=\left(\frac{u_0+u+\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)}{2},\frac{u_0+u-\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)}{2}\right)$. It is easily seen that

$$\left|\frac{u_0+u+\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)}{2}-\frac{u_0+\mathfrak{s}(v_0)}{2}\right|_p\leq\max\{|u|_p,|\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)-\mathfrak{s}(v_0)|_p\}. \tag{30}$$

Under the condition of the theorem

$$|u|_p\leq p^{-k}. \tag{31}$$

Since $\mathfrak{s}^2(x)=x$, we have

$$|\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)-\mathfrak{s}(v_0)|_p=\frac{|v|_p}{|\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)+\mathfrak{s}(v_0)|_p}. \tag{32}$$

Note that $v_0+v=p^{2l}c+p^{k+l}t$ for some $t\in\mathbf{Z}_p$. This implies that $\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)=p^l\mathfrak{s}(c+p^{k-l}t)$ and moreover, $\mathfrak{s}(v_0)=p^l\mathfrak{s}(c)$. Inasmuch as the points $\mathfrak{s}(c+p^{k-l}t)$ and $\mathfrak{s}(c)$ are at the same coset of the subgroup $p\mathbf{Z}_p$ in the group $\mathbf{Z}_p$, so is $|\mathfrak{s}(c+p^{k-l}t)+\mathfrak{s}(c)|_p=1$, and hence, $|\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)+\mathfrak{s}(v_0)|_p=p^{-l}$. If it is remembered that $|v|_p\leq p^{-k-l}$, we get from (32) that

$$|\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)-\mathfrak{s}(v_0)|_p\leq p^{-k}. \tag{33}$$

Taking into account (31) and (33), it follows from (30) that inequality

$$\left|\frac{u_0+u+\mathfrak{s}(v_0+v)}{2}-\frac{u_0+\mathfrak{s}(v_0)}{2}\right|_p\leq p^{-k}$$

holds, and hence

$$S_1(E_k)\subset(x_0,y_0)+(p^k\mathbf{Z}_p)^2. \tag{34}$$

We note that if $T(a,b)=T(a',b')$, then either $(a,b)=(a',b')$, or $(a,b)=(b',a')$. Since $|x_0-y_0|_p=|\mathfrak{s}(v_0)|_p=p^{-l}$, and $k\geq l+1$, the restriction of the mapping T to the set $(x_0,y_0)+(p^k\mathbf{Z}_p)^2$ is injective. Taking this into account, (29) follows from Lemma 4 and (34) . Moreover it follows from has been said the mappings T and S_1 are inverse homeomorphisms of the sets $S_1(E_k)$ and E_k.

Observe that the set E_k is a product of the balls $E_k=\left\{u:|u-u_0|_p\leq\frac{1}{p^k}\right\}\times\left\{v:|v-v_0|_p\leq\frac{1}{p^{k+l}}\right\}$. Put $x(u,v)=\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}$, $y(u,v)=\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}$, $(u,v)\in E_k$. The mapping S_1 is a homeomorphism of the open compacts E_k and $S_1(E_k)$, and the functions $x(u,v)$ and $y(u,v)$ by Lemma 3 on E_k are expressed by convergent power series in u and v. We have $\frac{\mathbf{d}x}{\mathbf{d}u}=\frac{\mathbf{d}y}{\mathbf{d}u}=\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{\mathbf{d}x}{\mathbf{d}v}=\frac{1}{4\mathfrak{s}(v)}$, $\frac{\mathbf{d}y}{\mathbf{d}v}=-\frac{1}{4\mathfrak{s}(v)}$. It follows from this that

$$\left|\det\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\mathbf{d}x}{\mathbf{d}u}&\frac{\mathbf{d}x}{\mathbf{d}v}\\ \frac{\mathbf{d}y}{\mathbf{d}u}&\frac{\mathbf{d}y}{\mathbf{d}v}\end{pmatrix}\right|_p=\left|\det\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}&\frac{1}{4\mathfrak{s}(v)}\\ \frac{1}{2}&-\frac{1}{4\mathfrak{s}(v)}\end{pmatrix}\right|_p=|\mathfrak{s}(v)|_p^{-1}\neq 0,\quad(u,v)\in E_k. \tag{35}$$

It is obvious that (iii) follows from the change of variables formula in integrals ([16, §4]) and (35).

Lemma 6. *Consider the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$, where $p > 2$. Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and distribution μ. Assume that μ has the density ρ with respect to $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ such that ρ is continuous and $\rho(0) > 0$. The random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ are independent if and only if the density ρ satisfies the equation*

$$\rho^2(u)\rho(v)\rho(-v) = \rho^2(0)\rho(u+v)\rho(u-v), \quad u, v \in \mathbf{Q}_p. \tag{36}$$

Proof. Inasmuch as $\mu_{(S,D)} = T(\mu_{(\xi,\eta)})$ and the distribution $\mu_{(\xi,\eta)}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}$, so is $\mu_{(\xi,\eta)}\{(t,t) : t \in \mathbf{Q}_p\} = 0$. Therefore the distribution $\mu_{(S,D)}$ concentrated at the set $\mathbf{Q}_p \times (\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]} \backslash \{0\})$. Let the mappings S_j and the sets E_k be the same as in Lemma 5. Take $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbf{Q}_p \times (\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]} \backslash \{0\})$ and represent the element $\mathfrak{s}(v_0)$ in the form $\mathfrak{s}(v_0) = p^l c$, where $c \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$. By Lemma (i) and (ii) are fulfilled for $k \geq l+1$. We have

$$\mu_{(S,D)}\{E_k\} = T(\mu_{(\xi,\eta)})\{E_k\} = \mu_{(\xi,\eta)}\{T^{-1}(E_k)\} = \int\limits_{T^{-1}(E_k)} \rho(x)\rho(y)dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(x,y) =$$

$$\int\limits_{S_1(E_k)} \rho(x)\rho(y)dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(x,y) + \int\limits_{S_2(E_k)} \rho(x)\rho(y)dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(x,y). \tag{37}$$

Taking into account equalities (iii) of Lemma 5, we transform integrals in the right-hand side of equality (3) and obtain

$$\int\limits_{S_1(E_k)} \rho(x)\rho(y)dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(x,y) = \int\limits_{E_k} \rho\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)|\mathfrak{s}(v)|_p^{-1}dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(u,v),$$

$$\int\limits_{S_2(E_k)} \rho(x)\rho(y)dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(x,y) = \int\limits_{E_k} \rho\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)|\mathfrak{s}(v)|_p^{-1}dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(u,v).$$

Then (3) implies that

$$\mu_{(S,D)}\{E_k\} = 2\int\limits_{E_k} \rho\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)|\mathfrak{s}(v)|_p^{-1}dm_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}(u,v).$$

This equality means that the distribution $\mu_{(S,D)}$ has a density with respect to $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}$, and this density is equal to

$$2\rho\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)|\mathfrak{s}(v)|_p^{-1}, \quad u \in \mathbf{Q}_p, \ v \in \mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]} \backslash \{0\}. \tag{38}$$

Note that when we got representation (38) for the density of distribution $\mu_{(S,D)}$, we did not use the independence of the random variables S and D.

By the condition of the lemma the random variables S and D are independent. Therefore there exist integrable with respect to $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ functions r_j on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ such that the equality

$$r_1(u)r_2(v) = 2\rho\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(v)}{2}\right)|\mathfrak{s}(v)|_p^{-1} \tag{39}$$

holds true almost everywhere on $\mathbf{Q}_p \times (\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]} \backslash \{0\})$ with respect to $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p^2}$. Since the function in the right-hand side of equality (39) i continuous, we can assume without loss of generality that the functions r_j

are also continuous, and equality (39) holds true everywhere on $\mathbf{Q}_p \times (\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]} \backslash \{0\})$. Since $\rho(0) > 0$, it is easily seen that $r_1(0) > 0$. Put $v = t^2$, $t \neq 0$. It follows from (39) that

$$r_2(t^2) = 2r_1^{-1}(0)\rho\left(\frac{\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right)\rho\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right)|\mathfrak{s}(t^2)|_p^{-1},\ t \in \mathbf{Q}_p, \quad t \neq 0. \tag{40}$$

Note that (39) and (40) imply the equality

$$r_1(u)\rho\left(\frac{\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right)\rho\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right) = r_1(0)\rho\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right),$$

$$(u,t) \in \mathbf{Q}_p^2,\ t \neq 0. \tag{41}$$

It follows from the continuity of ρ and r_1 that equality (3) holds true for all $u, t \in \mathbf{Q}_p$. Put in (3) $t = 0$. We deduce from the last equality that

$$r_1(u) = \frac{r_1(0)}{\rho^2(0)}\rho^2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right), \quad u \in \mathbf{Q}_p. \tag{42}$$

Substituting (42) into (3), we find that

$$\rho^2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right)\rho\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right) = \rho^2(0)\rho\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right),$$

$$u, t \in \mathbf{Q}_p. \tag{43}$$

Since either $\mathfrak{s}(t^2) = t$, or $\mathfrak{s}(t^2) = -t$, the equalities

$$\rho\left(\frac{\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right)\rho\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right) = \rho\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\rho\left(-\frac{t}{2}\right), \quad t \in \mathbf{Q}_p \tag{44}$$

and

$$\rho\left(\frac{u+\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-\mathfrak{s}(t^2)}{2}\right) = \rho\left(\frac{u+t}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-t}{2}\right), \quad u, t \in \mathbf{Q}_p \tag{45}$$

are fulfilled. Substituting (44) and (45) into (3), we get that the density ρ satisfies equation (36). The necessity is proved.

Let us prove the sufficiency. Taking into account (38) and (45) we have the following representation for the density ϱ of the distribution $\mu_{(S,D)}$:

$$\varrho(u,v) = \begin{cases} 2\rho\left(\frac{u+t}{2}\right)\rho\left(\frac{u-t}{2}\right)|\mathfrak{s}(t^2)|_p^{-1}, & u \in \mathbf{Q}_p,\ v = t^2,\ t \neq 0; \\ 0, & u \in \mathbf{Q}_p,\ v \notin (\mathbf{Q}_p^{[2]} \backslash \{0\}). \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

If a density ρ satisfies equation (36), it is easily seen that the density $\varrho(u,v)$ is represented aa a product of a function of u and a function of v. This implies the independence of S and D.

Now we can prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3. *Consider the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$, where $p > 2$. Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and distribution μ. Assume that μ has the density ρ with respect to $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ such that ρ is continuous. In order that the random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ be independent it is necessary and sufficient that $\mu \in I(\mathbf{Q}_p)$.*

Proof. Necessity. We reason as in the proof of Theorem 1. It is obvious that replacing if it is necessary the random variables ξ and η by new independent random variables $\xi + x$ and $\eta + x$, we can assume from the beginning that $\rho(0) > 0$. Applying Lemma 6 we find that the density ρ satisfies equation (36). Since the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is an Abelian group with unique division by 2, by Lemma 2 the set

$K = \{x \in \mathbf{Q}_p : \rho(x) > 0\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Obviously, K is an open subgroup, and hence a closed one.

Assume first that $K \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$. Since $K \neq \{0\}$, the subgroup K is of the form $K = p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ for some integer m, and hence, K is a compact group. Consider the restriction of equation (36) to K. Put $\varphi(x) = \log \rho(x)$, $x \in K$. It follows from (36) that

$$2\varphi(u) + \varphi(v) + \varphi(-v) = 2\varphi(0) + \varphi(u+v) + \varphi(u-v), \quad u, v \in K.$$

Integrate both sides of this equality by the measure $dm_K(v)$. We get that $\varphi(u) = \varphi(0)$ for all $u \in K$. Hence, $\rho(x) = \rho(0)$ for all $x \in K$, but this means that $\mu = m_K$.

If $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$, then we consider the restriction of equation (36) to an arbitrary subgroup $G = p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. The reasoning above shows that $\rho(x) = \rho(0)$ for all $x \in G$, and hence, $\rho(x) = \rho(0)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, contrary to the integrability of the density ρ. So, the case when $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$, is impossible. The necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. Let K be a nonzero compact subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. As has been stated above, K is of the form $K = p^m\mathbf{Z}_p$ for some integer m. Let ξ and η be independent identically distributed random variables with values in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and distribution $\mu = m_K$. Since $p > 2$, we have $\frac{x}{2} \in K$ for any element x of the subgroup K. It is well known that this implies that the random variables $\xi + \eta$ and $\xi - \eta$ are independent ([5, §7])). Hence, the random variables S and D are also independent.

Remark 3. Let us discuss the case of the field $\mathbf{Q}_2$. A lemma similar to Lemma 4 holds also true for the field $\mathbf{Q}_2$. Unlike equality (25) for $k \geq l+2$ the equality

$$T\{(x_0, y_0) + (2^k\mathbf{Z}_2)^2\} = (x_0 + y_0, (x_0 - y_0)^2) + (2^k\mathbf{Z}_2) \times (2^{k+l+1}\mathbf{Z}_2) \tag{47}$$

holds true. Respectively, taking (47) into account, one can also reformulate Lemma 5. It allows to prove Lemma 6 for the field $\mathbf{Q}_2$. Next reasoning as in the proof of the necessity in Theorem 3, we get that if ξ and η are independent identically distributed random variables with values in $\mathbf{Q}_2$ and distribution μ such that μ has the density ρ with respect to $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$, where the density ρ is continuous, $\rho(0) > 0$ and the random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ are independent, then $\mu = m_K$, where $K = 2^m\mathbf{Z}_2$ for some integer m. But in this case the corresponding density

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 2^m, & x \in 2^m\mathbf{Z}_2; \\ 0, & x \notin 2^m\mathbf{Z}_2. \end{cases}$$

does not satisfies equation (36). To see this, put in (36) $u = v \in 2^{m-1}\mathbf{Z}_2 \backslash 2^m\mathbf{Z}_2$. Then the left-hand side of (36) is equal to zero, but the right-hand side does not. Thus, do not exist independent identically distributed random variables ξ and η with values in the field $\mathbf{Q}_2$ and distribution μ, such that μ has the density ρ with respect to a Haar measure $m_{\mathbf{Q}_2}$, where the density ρ is continuous and the random variables $S = \xi + \eta$ and $D = (\xi - \eta)^2$ are independent.

4 Comments and unsolved problems

Let ξ and η be independent random variables with values in the field of real numbers $\mathbf{R}$. According to the Kac-Bernstein theorem the independence of $\xi + \eta$ and $\xi - \eta$ implies that the random variables ξ and η are Gaussian. The similar result holds also true for the considering in the article fields. Indeed, let ξ and η be independent random variables with values either in a countable discrete field or in the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and with distributions μ and ν. Since in the both cases the connected component of zero of the field does not contain elements of order 2, the independence of $\xi + \eta$ and $\xi - \eta$ implies that μ and ν are idempotent distributions ([5, Theorem 7.10]). In so doing we do net assume that ξ and η are identically distributed, and in the case when ξ and η take values in the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ we do not assume that μ and ν have continuous densities.

The situation changes if instead of $\xi+\eta$ and $\xi-\eta$ we will consider $S=\xi+\eta$ and $D=(\xi-\eta)^2$. As has been proved in [10], if one consider independent random variables with values in the field of real numbers $\mathbf{R}$, then without the condition of identically distributivity of ξ and η the independence of S and D, generally speaking, does not imply that both distributions of random variables ξ and η are Gaussian. In [10] was constructed an example, when one of the random variables has a Gaussian distribution, but the distribution of the second random variable is a mixture of two Gaussian distributions. It follows from Remark 2 that in Theorems 1 and 2 one can not omit the condition of identically distributivity of independent random variables ξ and η. The problem, if we can not omit the condition of identically distributivity of independent random variables ξ and η in Theorem 3 is unsolved.

The problem, if we can prove Theorem 3 without assumption that the distribution μ has a density with respect to $m_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ and this density is continuous, is also unsolved.

Finally, the theorems proved in the article are analogues for the considering fields of a particular case of the theorem Geary. It is interesting to find out if the theorem Geary holds true for the considering fields in the general case, i.e. when $n \geq 2$.

References

[1] G.M. Feldman, On the Skitovich-Darmois theorem on Abelian groups. Theory Probab. Appl. **37** (1992), 621–631.

[2] G.M. Feldman. A characterization of the Gaussian distribution on Abelian groups. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **126**, (2003), 91–102.

[3] G.M. Feldman. On a characterization theorem for locally compact abelian groups. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **133**, (2005), 345–357.

[4] G.M. Feldman. On the Heyde theorem for discrete Abelian groups. Studia Math., **177**, (2006), 67–79.

[5] G.M. Feldman. Functional equations and characterization problems on locally compact Abelian groups. EMS Tracts in Mathematics, **5**, Zurich: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2008, 268 p.

[6] G.M. Feldman. The Heyde theorem for locally compact Abelian groups, J. of Funct. Analysis **258**, (2010), 3977–3987.

[7] G.M. Feldman. On the Skitovich–Darmois theorem for the group of p-adic numbers. J. of Theor. Probability. DOI 10.1007/ s10959-013-0525-9

[8] R. C. Geary. The distribution of "Student's" ratio for non-normal samples. Suppl. J. R. statist. Soc., London, **3**, (1936), 178-184.

[9] P. Graczyk, J.-J. Loeb. A Bernstein property of measures on groups and symmetric spaces. Probab. Math. Statist, **20**, No 1, (2000), 141–149.

[10] A. Kagan, R.C. Laha, V. Rohatgi. Independence of the sum and absolute difference of independent random variables does not imply their normality. Math. Methods Statist., **6**, No. 2, (1997), 263–265.

[11] T. Kawata, and H. Sakamoto. On the characterisation of the normal population by the independence of the sample mean and the sample variance. J. Math. Soc. Japan, **1**, (1949), 111–115.

[12] E. Lukacs. A characterization of the normal distribution. Ann. Math. Statistics, **13**, (1942), 91–93.

[13] I. P. Mazur. Skitovich–Darmois theorem for discrete and compact totally disconnected Abelian groups. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, **65**, no 7, (2013), 1054-1070.

[14] M. Myronyuk. The Heyde theorem on a-adic solenoids, Colloquium Mathematicum, **132**, (2013), 195–210.

[15] D. Neuenschwander and R. Schott. The Bernstein and Skitovich-Darmois characterization theorems for Gaussian distributions on groups, symmetric spaces, and quantum groups. Exposition. Math., **15**, (1997), 289–314.

[16] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov. p-adic analysis and mathematical physics. Series on Soviet and East European Mathematics. 10. Singapore: World Scientific, 1994, 319 p.

[17] A.A. Zinger. On independent samples from normal populations. (Russian). Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.), **6**, (1951), no. 5, 172–175.